

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Dave Speiser <dspeiser@pm.me>
Sent: Tuesday, May 5, 2026 12:14 PM
To: List - City Council
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Supporting the \$10K budget request for MB CERT

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I want to add my voice to the request for continued support for CERT as we continue to train MB residents to better take care of themselves, their families, and our whole community in the event of disaster or other emergencies. CERT adds valuable capacity and offloads work that would otherwise fall to the Fire Department as well as bringing valuable and lifesaving training to our citizens.

As a recent returnee to the city, I have been excited to engage with CERT as part of re-forming my connections to our community, and believe it fully deserves the support embodied in the \$10,000 budget request. I also believe every city council member should take the annual CERT training course if you have not done so!

David Speiser
1736 11th St.
Manhattan Beach

Sent from [Proton Mail](#) for iOS.

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: CERT <1certtraining@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 5, 2026 11:09 AM
To: List - City Council
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Support for funding for CERT

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I am sending this email to show my support for funding of CERT.

Thank you for your consideration.

Jeanne Hendricks
310-283-5491

SAVE A LIFE

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Barbara Schwartz <barbarags@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 5, 2026 10:54 AM
To: City Clerk
Cc: Bonnie Schwartz
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Build the Senior center

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

As a senior citizen I value the opportunity to be part of a community. That is made possible by having facilities that provide access to other people in the community. We have worked hard to make this center a reality. Please help us, make this dream come true. Every one of us, no matter what age will benefit. This is the MOST IMPORTANT topic on the agenda. Get the building started so we can celebrate its completion.

A proud contributor.

Barbara Schwartz

Sent from my iPad

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Craig Chamberlain <crgchmbrln@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2026 9:29 PM
To: List - City Council
Subject: [EXTERNAL] MB City Council: May 5th Council Meeting, Agenda Item #10 - Scouthouse

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Subject: Restoring the \$4.6 Million Commitment to the Senior & Scout Community Center

Honorable Mayor and City Council Members,

As a parent and a long-time resident, I am writing to you today with a deep sense of urgency and hope regarding the future of the Senior and Scout Community Center. Our community has spent over a decade working toward this vision, and we are so close to the finish line. I am asking you to restore the **\$4.6 million contribution** to the 2026-27 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) to ensure this project moves forward without further costly delays.

While I understand the complexities of the city budget, the recent decision to remove this previously approved funding is deeply concerning. This project is a promise made to our youth and our seniors—one that we have the resources to keep.

I urge you to consider the following points as we look for a collaborative path forward:

- **A Vital Home for our Youth:** Manhattan Beach is home to over 500 Girl Scouts and hundreds of Boy Scouts (Scouting America) across numerous local troops. These programs instill leadership and service in our children, yet the current Scout House is no longer adequate for their needs. Our scouts deserve a space that reflects the value we place on their development.
- **Fiscal Responsibility through Action:** We have reached 100% design completion. History shows us that delay equals more money; labor and soft costs have already pushed the estimate to \$9.7M despite aggressive value engineering. City staff has already identified other CIP projects (Slides 19-20) that can be adjusted to prioritize this "shovel-ready" asset.
- **Honoring Community Generosity:** The City has already accepted \$2,295,000 in donations from the [Friends of the Senior & Scout Community Center](#), including contributions from **520 unique donors**, [Sandpipers](#), [Beach Cities Health District](#), and local service clubs. We must honor the trust these donors placed in our City leadership.
- **Leveraging Voter-Approved Funding:** With the half-cent sales tax approved in November 2024, the City now has a dedicated revenue stream. Utilizing bonds to finance this long-term asset is a fair and sustainable way to ensure that today's CIP budget isn't unfairly burdened by a building that will serve Manhattan Beach for 50+ years.

The Friends of the Senior & Scout Community Center are ready to collaborate further. They have expressed a willingness to modify the Memorandum of Understanding to allow for more rental income to help offset debt service, and the expansion of popular Older Adult programs offers a clear path for increased revenue sharing with the City.

Let's work together to fulfill this commitment. By restoring this funding, you are not just building a center; you are investing in the fabric of our community.

Sincerely,

Craig Chamberlain
Manhattan Beach Resident and Scout Parent & Scout Leader

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: robert Udovich <brooklynpizza@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2026 8:44 PM
To: City Clerk
Cc: Erik Zandvliet; Nguyen, Karen@DOT
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Request to Restore Parking and Improve Safety on Sepulveda Blvd.
Between Artesia and Longfellow

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Mayor and City Councilmembers,

I am writing regarding the upcoming discussion on Sepulveda Boulevard safety and parking, specifically the west side of Sepulveda Boulevard between Artesia Boulevard and Longfellow Avenue.

Based on the crash-history information, it appears that the areas with no curbside parking have higher accident and fatality numbers, while nearby segments with curbside parking appear to have fewer incidents. This suggests that parked cars may not be the safety problem. In fact, they may help deter speeding by narrowing the visual roadway and creating a traffic-calming effect.

Between Artesia Boulevard and Longfellow Avenue, parking has been removed, yet accidents remain elevated. When I first opened my business approximately 20 years ago, parking existed in this area. I respectfully request that the city restore curbside parking on the west side of Sepulveda Boulevard between Artesia and Longfellow.

Restoring parking would help local businesses and may also improve safety by discouraging speeding from vehicles coming off Artesia Boulevard. Combined with proper signage, clearer street markings, and better traffic-calming measures, the city should be able to address safety concerns without continuing to remove needed business parking.

If the city believes parking should remain removed for safety reasons, I respectfully request that the city identify the specific crashes between Artesia Boulevard and Longfellow Avenue that were caused by parked vehicles, parking maneuvers, dooring, or blocked visibility from parked cars. If the crash data does not show that parking caused the problem, then parking should be restored and the city should focus on the actual causes of the accidents, including speeding, roadway design, signage, markings, visibility, and enforcement.

I support improving safety on Sepulveda Boulevard. I am simply asking that the solution be based on the actual crash data and that the city consider restoring parking as part of a practical safety and business-access solution.

Respectfully,

Robert Utivich
Brooklyn Brik Oven Pizza

500 S. Sepulveda Blvd., Suite 203
Manhattan Beach, CA

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Stefan Kampe <stefan.a.kampe@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2026 5:25 PM
To: List - City Council; City Clerk
Subject: [EXTERNAL] City of Manhattan Beach City Council 5/5/2026 meeting - - resident comments

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

MB City Council –

Comments for the 5/5/2026 City Council meeting:

Consent Calendar:

- Item 4: First reading of bicycle ordinance:
 - I am very pleased to see this ordinance being adopted.
 - The attachment “E-Bike Incidents Information” is good. I suggest this item be pulled from the consent calendar to discuss the reason(s) why the number of citations in 2026 to date appear to be substantially less than prior years. The timeframe of the data is not provided so it is not clear through what date the 2026 bicycle incident data is for. If this was discussed in a prior council meeting that I missed then no need to discuss again. The citations are working! Please keep it up!
 - It is unclear to me if and how the draft ordinance addresses e-Bike riding on the strand. There are many e-bike riders going excessive speeds on the strand which poses a significant safety issue. As a resident that is frequently walking to the beach and on the walk way I see this frequently. I also can say that I have never seen any police surveillance on the strand. Please discuss this concern.

General Business:

- Item 9: Presentation of Fiscal Year 2027 Proposed Operating Budget
 - There is a tremendous amount of information to digest and a lot of budgeting concepts to understand. It would be helpful to offer residents a session or two to educate them on how the budgeting process works so that more residents can engage in the budget discussions.
 - The bottom line is that planned expenditures (\$210.6 M) exceeds planned expenditures (\$199.7 M) by \$10.9 M. In addition, there are several capital improvement projects that have not been funded (Lot 3, 400 MBB redo, and some others).
 - Page 369 of the detail budget report shows the 5-year forecast which shows the General Fund balance decreasing every year. This needs to be a wake up call to take action to reduce costs (not just focus on increasing revenue).
 - The presentation talks about the increases to the budget. What is not included is a discussion on if and where costs can be reduced (with the exception of deferred costs attachment). Please discuss the need to look at ways to reduce costs and require it to be included in the study session.
 - I received and responded to the budget survey. The survey did not state that the current planned expenditures exceeded planned revenue. I think that if respondents knew this that the conclusion of “The results indicate that most respondents feel the City should spend the “Same Amount” on each type of operation” would be different, i.e., the general consensus may be to reduce overall spend.
 - Please discuss the increase in staff headcount. With the successful implementation of the ERP system, and other automated tools the expectation is that headcount would be stable or decrease as productivity has increased. It would be interesting to see an analysis of staff headcount growth over

time relative to resident growth and other key indicators. There have been many residents raising this concern in city council meetings so I believe some analysis and discussion is needed.

Thank you for your support of the city!

Stefan

The information contained in this e-mail message, together with any attachments thereto, legally protected confidential information and is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) named above. The message and any attachments to this message are privileged and protected communications. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or authorized to receive it for the intended recipient, you have received this message in error. You are not to review, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this message, any attachments to this message, or their contents. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail message, and delete the original message.

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Sally A. Bickel <momunit@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2026 3:52 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Budget comments for 5/5/26 City Council Meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

City Council,

My daughter was a Girl Scout in Manhattan Beach and earned the highest achievement Girl Scouting offers, The Gold Award. My son was a Boy Scout in Manhattan and earned the highest rank Boy Scouting offers, Eagle Scout. I am very proud of my children and the wonderful adults they have become, thanks in part to being involved in the scouting programs here in Manhattan Beach.

I donated to Friends of Senior & Scout Community Center on June 12, 2022 and I am still waiting for the new Senior and Scout Community Center to break ground. I am asking that the City proceed with this project and honor the commitment they made regarding funding so that we can target ground breaking before another year goes by and estimated costs rise even more. I'm asking for the City Council to prioritize resources for this important initiative and allow the City staff to move forward asap.

Meeting spaces for youth and for seniors is essential for the health of a community like Manhattan Beach. The space at Jocelyn Center is just not sufficient to support our residents, young or old. The new Senior and Scout Community Center design offers flexible space to suit both groups and unique opportunities for collaborative projects and events in the future which could bring the young and old together for meaningful experiences.

Thank you.

Sally Bickel
311 N Rowell Ave.
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Diana <dianah100@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, May 4, 2026 10:05 AM
To: List - City Council
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Support for rebuilding Scout house Troop 849

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Manhattan Beach City Council Members,

I hope you're doing well. I wanted to reach out about something that means a lot to many families in our community Scout House Troop 849.

For years, Scout House 849 has been a place where kids can grow, learn leadership skills, and give back to the community. It's where friendships are built and where young people learn responsibility, teamwork, and service. A lot of those lessons stick with us for life.

Right now, the space isn't in great condition, and it's becoming harder for scouts to fully use it the way they should. Rebuilding or improving the Scout House would make a huge difference. It would give current and future scouts a safe and welcoming place to meet, learn, and continue all the great work they do in Manhattan Beach.

I'm asking you to please consider supporting funding for this project. Helping rebuild Scout House 849 would be a meaningful investment in the youth of our community and in the future of Manhattan Beach.

Thank you for your time and for everything you do for our city. It really does make a difference.

Sincerely,

Diana Lawrence

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Mary Bartlett <bartfam3@verizon.net>
Sent: Saturday, May 2, 2026 5:07 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Senior & Scout House Project

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

As a resident of Manhattan Beach, former Scout leader and Girl Scout Service Unit Manager overseeing all Manhattan Beach Girl Scouts, I am extremely frustrated at the City's recent decisions surrounding this project. The City previously committed \$4.6 million dollars to this project and now it seems that the City is retracting its commitment and contemplating other alternatives to the current design and funding. It's really time to stop delaying this project and commit to funding and finishing it. Our City (Scouts, Seniors, and other organizations) needs this facility. The longer we delay the more the costs continue to increase.

I urge the City to move this project along as soon as possible. Unfortunately, I will be out of the country or I would have attended one of the upcoming Council meetings. I am happy to discuss this further if any of the City Council members or staff would like to contact me.

Regards,
Mary Athans-Bartlett
540 2nd St.
Manhattan Beach, CA 90266
310-213-4318

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Paul Wafer <pwafer_alpha@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, May 1, 2026 1:58 PM
To: List - City Council
Cc: Libby Bretthauer; Talyn Mirzakhanian
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Next Tuesday's Budget Review

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Council Members,

I have been reviewing the City's revenue and expense growth over two distinct periods—the five years prior to COVID and the five years following—and I am concerned about the sustainability of our current trajectory.

From 2016 to 2021, revenue and expenses grew at nearly identical and modest rates, about 2.3% annually. This balance helped maintain stable and predictable finances.

Since 2021, however, both have accelerated significantly. Revenue has grown at approximately 9.7% per year, while expenses have increased at 7.4%. While this may appear positive in the short term, this level of growth is well above historical norms and unlikely to continue.

Over the full ten-year period, average growth is much lower—roughly 6% for revenue and 5% for expenses. More importantly, revenue is far more sensitive to external factors beyond the City's control, including broader economic conditions and potential funding reductions at the state and federal level. If revenues slow or decline, expenses may not adjust quickly enough, creating the risk of a structural deficit.

To better prepare for that possibility, I urge you to require each department to clearly address expense control and operational efficiency during their budget presentations. Specifically, I encourage you to ask:

- What specific actions have been taken to reduce or contain costs?
- How is the department improving productivity and efficiency?
- What workload metrics support current staffing levels?
- How do staffing levels compare to similar cities or industry benchmarks?
- What opportunities exist to use technology, including AI, to streamline operations?

In last year's budget discussions, the focus appeared to be primarily on enhancing revenue. Given the current environment, a more balanced approach that places equal emphasis on controlling expenses is essential.

I will be attending next Tuesday's meeting and hope to see a stronger focus on long-term fiscal sustainability.

Paul Wafer

310-467-0906

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Stefan Kampe <stefan.a.kampe@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 28, 2026 3:28 PM
To: City Clerk; List - City Council
Cc: Erik Zandvliet
Subject: [EXTERNAL] City of MB / Follow-up to Project Pulse and parking comments in last council meeting
Attachments: City of MB Parking space inventory ver 2026 04 28.xlsx; City of MB Parking space inventory ver 2026 04 28.pdf

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

MB City Council –

I am following up on my recent public comments regarding Project Pulse at the April 21, 2026, City Council meeting. One of the concerns I raised was defining what our parking space goals are for each type of parking (residential, visitor, merchant, etc.) and then looking at how each option being considered in Project Pulse could address achieving these goals. Some additional thoughts:

- Attached is a draft Excel spreadsheet that could be used for this type of analysis. The attached is for format/concept purposes only. I reviewed the latest Parking Study and was not able to find the data necessary to complete this spreadsheet. The structure going left to right:
 - The first section shows what our current parking spaces are by type. This would need to be completed. I expect Erik Zandvliet or the consultants that prepared the Parking Study have this information readily available. I expect the column headings may need to change and some clarifications made such as which spaces change from shared to residential at night.
 - The second section shows what the proposed parking would be with the Project Pulse option under consideration. In the attached I assumed that a Lot 3 build out would add 150 parking spaces and 28 metered street parking would be taken away for outdoor dining. Again, the attached is for example/discussion purposes only - - the data for number of parking spaces is incorrect and needs to be input.
 - At the bottom of the second section there are rows for “Goal” and “Variance.” The Goal is how many parking spaces by type the city wants to have and the variance is the difference between the plan and the goal. The goals need to be defined.
 - The third section shows the change from the existing conditions and the proposed conditions. This is to highlight where the changes are planned.
- The key unknown is what the parking goals are. The Parking Study came back with a number of spaces needed in order to supply enough spaces during peak demand in peak season. Personally, I think this is the wrong number to use to set a goal. The reality is that if more parking is added more people will come to Manhattan Beach creating further unmet demand. We, as a community, need to define what our goals are taking into consideration the goal to maintain the small beach town feel balanced with parking needs. In addition, the strategies defined and approved in the Parking Study are expected to reduce the parking demand. I suggest that the City Council request that a community task force be created to come up with parking goals. This could also provide the opportunity to better balance the type of parking by location.
- My understanding is that if the City of MB wants to change parking there will be a need to review this with the Coastal Commission. Something of this format would help support that discussion. One issue that could be considered in that effort is making parking in Lot 8 and along Valley metered.
- For the Project Pulse options, I suggest that each option go through this analysis so you as the City Council have specific information to help you in your decision making.

- A similar analysis needs to be done for North Manhattan Beach.

Liza, please include this email and attachment in the public comments for the next City Council meeting.

I look forward to hearing your feedback. Thank you for your service to our small beach town community.

Stefan Kampe

The information contained in this e-mail message, together with any attachments thereto, legally protected confidential information and is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the addressee(s) named above. The message and any attachments to this message are privileged and protected communications. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or authorized to receive it for the intended recipient, you have received this message in error. You are not to review, use, disseminate, distribute or copy this message, any attachments to this message, or their contents. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail message, and delete the original message.

City Council Meeting - Public Comments
May 5, 2026

Lot/ Street Area	Current Parking Spaces (as of 4/27/2026)								Proposed Option 1 - Lot 3 with 2 floors down, 2 up and restaurant/retail on top floor; 400 MBB as-is								Change (increase /Decrease)							
	Visitor	Residential Permit	Commercial	Merchant(during day, residential at night)	Shared (Merchant/Visitor)	Street (not metered)	Street (metered)	TOTAL	Visitor	Residential Permit	Commercial	Merchant(during day, residential at night)	Shared (Merchant/Visitor)	Street (not metered)	Street (metered)	TOTAL	Visitor	Residential Permit	Commercial	Merchant(during day, residential at night)	Shared (Merchant/Visitor)	Street (not metered)	Street (metered)	TOTAL
Lot 1	0	15	0	0	15	0	0	30	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	30	0	(15)	0	30	(15)	0	0	0
Lot 2	0	15	0	0	15	0	0	30	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	30	0	(15)	0	30	(15)	0	0	0
Lot 3	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	125	0	0	25	25	0	0	175	75	0	0	25	25	0	0	125
Lot 7	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lot 8	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Upper Pier	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lower Pier	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Metlox	60	0	0	20	40	0	0	120	60	0	0	20	40	0	0	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
City Hall	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
400 MBB	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Joselyn Center	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Downtown Steets	0	0	0	0	0	200	948	1,148	0	0	0	0	0	200	920	1,120	0	0	0	0	0	0	(28)	(28)
Valley (north)	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	270	30	40	20	190	200	948	1,698	345	0	40	105	185	200	920	1,795	75	(30)	0	85	(5)	0	(28)	97
								Goal	320	40	40	60	140	200	920	1,720								
								Variance	(25)	40	0	(45)	(45)	0	0	(75)								

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: sander b. shipper shipper <sandershipper@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 26, 2026 10:14 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Scout House, Senior Center

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Regarding the Scouthouse/Senior Center,
Many of us have donated years ago. We are expectedly awaiting some movement on this project!
Understanding many City projects, there should be a project manager to move forward.
Please get this project started and finished.

Sincerely,
Sander and Ranoo Shipper
Manhattan Beach

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Jon Chaykowski <rideformbef@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 25, 2026 10:34 AM
To: List - City Council
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Senior & Scout Community Center

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council Members,

I was surprised to read from Friends of Senior & Scout Community Center that the new facility is moving forward slower than hoped.

They have suggested we seniors write to remind you to get it moving. The words below were "provided/scripted for us", however, they voice my action to date, position on subject, and request.

"I have donated to Friends of Senior & Scout Community Center. The City has an opportunity to provide Seniors and Scouts, along with other Community groups, a real home and much-needed community space. We need to proceed so that we can target ground breaking before another year goes by. The Friends Board has honored their fundraising commitment as agreed upon in 2022 and the longer we wait, the more expensive the project will be. I'm asking for the City Council to prioritize resources for this important initiative and allow the City staff to move forward asap. Thank you."

I ask each of you Council Members to help project move forward more quickly, i.e., in short-term.

Sincerely,
Jon Chaykowski

P.S. I have a few favorite quotes. Here is one:

"In the long run we are all dead."

This quote by renowned economist John Maynard Keynes, while seemingly bleak, holds a profound meaning and importance. At first glance, it may be interpreted as a reminder of our mortality and the transient nature of life. Keynes, however, was not merely commenting on the inevitability of death, but rather highlighting the urgency of taking action and making the most of the present moment. The quote can be summarized as a call to prioritize the here and now, as opposed to solely focusing on long-term planning or the distant future. Keynes believed that putting too much emphasis on long-term outcomes neglects the immediate needs and possibilities of the present.

I recall it was spoken in an argument/discussion with "long-term" viewed economists. Regarding the proposed facility, I strongly favor the short-term approach. And, considering the time it has taken already, it will be done in "medium-term", at best.

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Stephane Wandel <stephanewandel@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2026 7:25 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Senior & Scout Community Center

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council Members.

As a former Cub Scout and Boy Scout leader, I have donated to Friends of Senior & Scout Community Center and support that effort. The City has an opportunity to provide Seniors and Scouts, along with other Community groups, a real home and much-needed community space. We need to proceed so that we can target ground breaking before another year goes by. The Friends Board has honored their fundraising commitment as agreed upon in 2022 and the longer we wait, the more expensive the project will be. I'm asking for the City Council to prioritize resources for this important initiative and allow the City staff to move forward asap. Thank you."

Stephane Wandel
Cell: (310) 418-6588
1812 Oak Avenue, Manhattan Beach
Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Patricia Matson, CMC

From: Jennifer Julius <jen.j@verizon.net>
Sent: Friday, April 24, 2026 3:13 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Public Comment for City Council Meeting

EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

I would like to propose that City Council consider erecting no smoking signs along the strand and downtown. Cigarettes, vaping, cigars and joints have become more prevalent in the last year and we do not have adequate signage that it is prohibited. Attached is a sample of one I recently saw in New Zealand for reference.



Thank you,
Jennifer Julius